2019 CHIO COUNCIL ANNALS

28 JANUARY 2019

20180626 SBAR PAINAD

SBAR

S: The FLACC score is the current pain observational tool available in Cerner for the adult medical surgical and progressive care non-verbal patient population. This tool has not been validated as a best-practice assessment tool in this patient population.

B: In review of the literature, McCaffrey and Pasero wrote in their latest Pain Assessment and Pharmacological Management book (2011), "FLACC may seem like a good tool to use with older persons. However, the FLACC was based on children's development and has not yet been demonstrated to be reliable and valid for older persons" (p. 127). As evident by a met-analysis from the City of Hope Pain Resource Center, the PAINAD is the highest scoring tool for reliability and validity when assessing pain in non-verbal older adults. The PAINAD has been studied in adults in long-term palliative care settings (Van Lersel & Mullie, 2006). The American Society for Pain Management Nursing, Clinical Practice Guidelines for Pain Assessment in the Patient Unable to Self Report (2011) recommends the PAINAD as one of the tools of choice for adult end of life patient populations.

A: Current nursing policy and Cerner include the use of FLACC in nonverbal patients, which is preventing IU Health from providing the best care to their patients since it is not a validated tool. PAINAD is in Cerner Model.

R: Take the Cerner Model recommended build for the PAINAD scale and remove the verbiage in parentheses that says "65 years of age or older with advanced dementia" since the PAINAD pain scale is not limited to patients 65 years and older with advanced dementia. Also, add verbiage indicating that the FLACC scale is only to be used from 38 weeks gestation until adolescence if the patient is unable to self-report pain.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

DENIED.

Summary of Discussion: This is not a straightforward denial, and it should not be read as a straightforward "no". The requestor has clearly done much legwork in ascertaining what the Cerner Model recommendation was, and should be commended for that. We unfortunately note the following:

1. As the requestor notes, FLACC is written into nursing policy as well as the EMR. We cannot simply approve an EMR change in the absence of a nursing policy change.
2. There is a systemwide initiative underway toward the standardization and rationalization of pain scales, and there is apparently much discussion about FLACC vs. PAINAD vs. other at this time.
3. No matter what the consensus reached by the systemwide initiative, a large-scale retraining and education effort would be necessary.

As such, this Council defers the decision to the ongoing systemwide initiative, and denies this request at this time due to this. Saysana and Ivory of the CHIO Council are assigned to come back from this systemwide initiative work with a final system verdict.

20181129 SBAR CHART XR FOR BMT COORDINATORS

SBAR

S: The IU Health Bone Marrow and Stem Cell Transplant Program needs to gain access to Chart XR to view and print the laboratory results generated by the IU HLA Lab. These HLA results are necessary to select the best donor for the recipieints requiring bone marrow / stem cell transplantation for treatment of their leukemia and/or other hematologic conditions.

B: The IU HLA Lab currently prints each Chart XR report, scans, and emails the scanned reports to the IU Health BMT Coordinators. The HLA Lab produces 10-20 reports daily and batches reports to email when possible. Each report is detailed and lengthy and it is imperative for all data to be communicated accurately. Coordinators cannot always access email during clinic visits and are at a loss when this critical information cannot be accessed in Cerner. The Chart XR reports are printed by the BMT coordinators so they can make notes on them and clinicians' decisions and patient treatment plans are based on the HLA Lab results.

A: Communication efficiency is of utmost importance when dealing with a patient suffering with a morbid and rapidly declining condition requiring treatment. The convoluted process currently in place is not efficient. Cerner is available to securely communicate the pertinent medical information the BMT coordinators need and would increase efficiency for both employees and patients. The information they need is not accessible in the HLA results within Results Review. The BMT coordinators that need Chart XR access are currently in the AMB: Oncology Nurse position. The solid organ transplant coordinators have had access to Chart XR since 2006 (per Janet LaFara); therefore it would also be appropriate for the Bone Marrow Transplant Coordinators to have access as well. We asked Rob Busch and Janet LaFara for their input from a Model standpoint, and both agree a new position needs to be built.

R: Create a new position for the BMT Coordinators that mirrors their current position (AMB: Oncology Nurse) with the addition of Chart XR access to HLA Indiana University and HLA IUH Methodist.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

APPROVED IN PART / FURTHER INVESTIGATION REQUIRED

Summary of Discussion: This has been an ongoing saga. We first approved the request for BMT Coordinators to view HLA Reports as of 23 July 2018, only to find that it was still impossible for BMT Coordinators to see the detail in the HLA Reports. It does therefore make sense for BMT Coordinators to have Chart XR access. However, there are several questions that arise:

1. Do solid organ transplant coordinators have their own position? If so, how are Bone Marrow Transplant coordinators different?
2. Does it make sense for the AMB: Oncology Nurse position to have Chart XR access globally?
3. Is it truly Model for there to be a separate BMT Coordinators position in Cerner?
4. What other positions should have Chart XR access (per Model) that do not currently at IU Health?

Busch of Cerner notes that he is taking this for further investigation at Cerner. As such, Schaffer of the CHIO Council is assigned to drive this issue to closure alongside Busch of Cerner.

20181129 SBAR IMPLANTED DEVICES

SBAR

S: PAT nurses and hospitalists collect implanted electronic device information on paper for Anesthesia.

B: Currently, PAT nurses and hospitalists collect Implanted Electronic Device information on a piece of paper, which is then scanned into Cerner under the Operative / Implant Device Records folder in Clinical Notes. This information is viewed by Anesthesia and other providers on the day of surgery. The Device Rep phone numbers on the current form are for the entire state. The PAT RN calls the number and they get a call back from a local representative who then assists with determining if the patient needs a magnet and/or if a representative from the company needs to be present on the day of surgery.

A: Currently, the only similar build in Model is the "implants" tab within Histories. This change will increase efficiency in automating a process that is currently manual for scanning the document. Anesthesia uses this information to see what type of device the patient has; why it was placed; what mode it is in; whether it paces, defibrillates, or both; what happens if a magnet is put on it; how old it is and if it has been interrogated recently; and if an issue arises whether to expect a broken lead or a dead battery. This is information that is used across the system prior to surgery.

R: Since the system is looking at the patient's implanted device, add the "Implants" tab to Histories with the addition of other fields, such as date of most recent interrogation, reason the device was placed, "is the device an ICD?", "is the patient pacemaker dependent?", "will the surgery prevent a magnet?", "is it acceptable to place a magnet?", "what is the expected function if the magnet is applied?", "contact device rep name & phone number", and "is the device rep planning to be available in the pre-op area to adjust the device?"

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

DENIED / REVERT TO MODEL / FURTHER INVESTIGATION REQUIRED.

Summary of Discussion: It is indeed Model to have an "Implants" tab; however, it is not Model to have the additional fields requested in this SBAR. The need for further investigation here is clear, and it is paramount that we tackle this proposed change and any other proposed change in an absolutely Model-compliant fashion.

Schaffer of the CHIO Council is assigned to take up this investigation, and propose a final solution.

20181129 SBAR REMOVING AUTOMATIC DELAY SEGMENTS FROM PERIOP DOCUMENTS

SBAR

S: The "Delays" segment is automatically turned on for some Periop Documents at IU Health, even when it is not needed.

B: The "Delays" segment is automatically turned on for some Periop Documents at IU Health and there is often not a delay to document. Therefore, when this segment is on and not needed the staff have to right click to discontinue the segment.

A: There is no Model recommendation for preference cards at a procedural level as they are tailored more to the facility's needs. That being said, Kyle Davis of IUH OR Solutions has spoken with Cerner; Cerner has stated that the "Delays" segment should be pulled in on an as-needed basis, not automatically on each Periop Document.

R: Standardize the view across the system and remove the "Delays" segment from all Periop Documents within IU Health and make it so staff will pull in the "Delays" segment when it is needed.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

APPROVED CONTINGENT ON RULING FROM ANESTHESIA CLINICAL COUNCIL.

Summary of Discussion: It will indeed be Model in the future for the Delays segment to not be automatically pulled in. However, we note that this is a change to clinical workflow. If the requestor is correct, it will likely be a welcome change – but we have had issues in the past where seemingly innocuous changes had widespread negative impact. As such, we would only proceed with a maximum of caution. The requestor is to bring this matter to the Anesthesia Clinical Council for a further ruling. If the Anesthesia Clinical Council approves, we will begin a widespread educational push, as such a thing will be warranted in this case.

20181212 SBAR ALLEGED CHILD ABUSE FORM FOR IN DEPT OF PUBLIC WELFARE

SBAR

S: Integrated Care Management (ICM) leaders at Riley Hospital currently use a manual method (Excel spreadsheet) for tracking the cases of suspected or alleged child abuse that they report to the state. Each month, the data is

presented to Riley Senior Leadership, the Child Advocacy Committee (which includes Child Protect Team, Leadership from ED, Security and Legal) for review. ICM is requesting a more robust automated tool for gathering and tracking this data through the use of both the EDW and a PowerForm in Cerner.

B: The Indiana Department of Public Welfare report Alleged Child Abuse is a paper form that is filed. After the ICM staff complete the form, it is manually scanned into Cerner. At discharge (from either the outpatient or the inpatient setting), staff complete an internal paper form called the Data Sheet. They turn in a facesheet, copy of the 310, and the Data Sheet to the ICM leadership at Riley. A designee in ICM manually enters the data into Excel.

The inability to track patients with open cases of alleged neglect or abuse has also resulted in patient satisfaction survey calls mistakenly being placed to the parents after discharge, resulting in low scores and/or negative comments about the patient's care.

A: The Data Sheet contains demographic information, but also gathers information about the type of neglect, any delay in discharge, and the discharge disposition. Manually transcribing data from the paper form into an Excel spreadsheet presents a transcription error risk. An inquiry was sent to the EDW team to review any existing flags or problem/diagnosis codes available to gather this information. Aside from demographic information, the content necessary for tracking these cases and monitoring the patients as they come and go into the facility does not exist in the EDW.

R: ICM uses the Acute Case Management module in Cerner. ICM is requesting a PowerForm version of the Data Sheet used for tracking the department's work to notify the state of alleged neglect or abuse. Providing the ICM team with a PowerForm to complete at the time of filing the state paperwork will reduce the risk of manual data entry errors. The CIM team will also request a report to pull the data on a historical basis and send to the ICM team for their reporting needs.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

APPROVED IN PART / FURTHER INVESTIGATION REQUIRED.

 Summary of Discussion: Spaces like this are interesting due to the fact that Cerner cannot call a single entity Model – after all, 50 different states have 50 different regulations and methods for tracking. Minimally, however, we must partner with Cerner and lead them along the path of declaring that it is Model to **have** such tracking as a PowerForm in the first place (with the content of that PowerForm being left to a state-by-state interpretation). We are confident that Cerner would be happy to make that judgment – and so Webber of the CHIO Council is assigned to make the ask at the next Cerner Pediatrics Leadership Council.

In the meantime, there is groundwork to be done. In a world where Open Notes and things like the Apple Health API exist, you now run a danger of exposing data elements such as these to potential public view in a way that you did not before. The same is true of anything Behavioral Health, and of anything Reproductive Health in the teen population. Therefore, like most requests that come to the CHIO Council, this is not a request that can be fulfilled right away. We open ourselves up to a potentially catastrophic security failure if we are not very careful about the parameters with which future PowerForms of this kind are designed from the data layer on upward. We will

therefore ask Clinical IS to roadmap this development for the future, especially as the Cerner Event Set Hierarchy is being remapped.

20181212 SBAR BABY STEPS TO HOME

SBAR

S: In 2016, the IU Health Neonatal Professional Practice Council (IUHNPPC) – a nursing-led council comprised of representatives from all IU Health NICUs – identified several inconsistencies in discharge teaching, including the number of relevant education documents available, when and how the education was provided, and the lack of ability of the nurse to accurately document education.

Currently, discharge teaching is based on each IU Health facility's own NICU standards of care or guidelines. The topics, materials used, and timing of the education varies from nurse to nurse and unit to unit.

NICU Education is currently documented in Cerner on the I/O / I-Flowsheet in the NICU Patient Education band. Education topics vary in location under the wide range of categories currently in Cerner, while some of the necessary topics do not exist at all for documentation. Current documentation makes it difficult to capture what has and has not been completed, resulting in missed topics, inconsistent teaching methods, and variable timing of teaching.

B: The IUHNPPC understands the wealth of information (diagnoses, medicines, patient care skills) parents need to learn on their journey to assuming responsibility for the care of their NICU child, and as such has begun the work of finding evidence-based education documents that align with the ideals and standards of the participating NICUs.

The discharge teaching module *Baby Steps to Home*, authored by the National Association of Neonatal Nurses (NANN), was chosen as an evidence-based, comprehensive resource. Implementing *Baby Steps* allows the nurse to more systematically and thoroughly prepare the parents for discharge. In this model, topics are discussed throughout the hospital stay rather than at the end. This evidence-based model has shown to help with the learning and retention of NICU parents.

The IUHNPPC has been reviewing Krames and IUH Custom education documents that will be used with the *Baby Steps to Home* topics, in order to standardize the education resources for nursing. Cerner build for this was actually in progress prior to the moratorium (August 2017). Steps 1-5 were built in CERT. Steps 6-10, except the reference text, were nearly ready for CERT build. Although Cerner build was placed on hold, work has continued on the resources that would be used in this model.

A: Although variation can be appropriate based on the individual patient needs, standard process and content are guides for better outcomes. Riley Clinical Informatics, NICU Educators, and Patient Education performed a crosswalk of NICU education and discharge topics available in Cerner Open House to the topics recommended in the *Baby Steps to Home* resource. Open House has topics arranged in 4 Phases vs. 10 Baby Steps. There are a few topics missing in Open House that may need to be added, such as Respiratory Syncytial Virus and some topic in the IU Health Your New Baby or Developmental Care handouts.

NICU Clinical Practice Council has approved the Cerner Open House **NICU Education – Discharge** band as a means to incorporate the *Baby Steps to Home* concepts.

R: Adopt the Cerner Open House NICU Education – Discharge band as soon as possible, rather than wait for the future Nursing Uplift I-View changes.

In Cerner on the I/O / I-Flowsheet:

1. Adopt NICU Education – Discharge band to replace the Patient Education band for users in the NICU Profile.
2. Crosswalk the Model NICU Education – Discharge DTAs to the NANN *Baby Steps to Home* topics and add reference text to each. The reference text will outline the approved IU Health materials to be used for the corresponding education topics, as well as the definitions of the Teaching Evaluations options.
3. Add the few DTAs/topics from *Baby Steps to Home* that are not in Cerner Open House (*e.g.* RSV)
4. On the NICU Education – Discharge band, where Teaching Evaluation options are the nomenclature, modify to the standard options that currently exist for IU Health.
5. In Cerner Open House, Teach Back is under the DTA: Teaching Method. Remove Teach Back from here as it is really not a method but rather a means of evaluation.

It is the strong recommendation of the IUHNPPC to make modifications to the NICU discharge education process, referencing approved documents for each discharge teaching topic or "step" along the patient's pathway to home. Using a crosswalk from the NANN *Baby Steps to Home* model or the Cerner Model NICU – Discharge topics will provide the needed NICU education topics documentation. The NICU education can then be standardized across IU Health to provide evidence-based references to families, increasing nursing documentation compliance, increasing nursing confidence in providing education, and increasing the overall patient/family satisfaction at discharge.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

FURTHER INVESTIGATION REQUIRED.

Summary of Discussion: We congratulate the requestor for a comprehensive and well-written SBAR. There are a few issues here that stopped us from giving wholehearted approval.

1. In light of the impending release of the inpatient Cerner Model Standard Discharge Process, this radically changes what is possible in this state. A re-evaluation of what is possible should be made only after this is deployed.
2. The modifications that are being asked for should not be done without a full-on discussion with Cerner. When it is that Model does not meet our needs, it is no longer our stance to make modifications (even and especially the most well-intentioned); we will instead work with Cerner to figure out the best Model-compliant path forward.
3. We appreciate the IUHNPPC's zeal in wanting the NICU Education – Discharge band. It is worth noting, though, that these Discharge bands (across the system, not just limited to NICU) have strong dependencies with the Cerner Model Standard Discharge Process – and as such one should not be built without the other. Fortunately for us, we are steering toward a release of the Cerner Model Standard Discharge Process in March 2019.

We consider this an opportunity for us to do the right thing, for IU Health as a system and for Cerner as an EMR. This is extraordinarily exciting. Webber, Ivory, and Lovely of the CHIO Council are assigned to drive this investigation home in the most appropriate manner possible. We look forward to being able to roadmap this item with confidence in the future.

20181212 SBAR PROVIDER COLUMN IN MESSAGE CENTER POOLS INBOX CONFIGURATION

SBAR

S: We have started the process of moving all 46 of our offices to Cerner Model of 3 message pools per practice. As we do so, we need to standardize our work when managing these pools. In the offices that have large volumes of messages in their pools they put the PCP (care team provider) name in the subject line in order to sort to the provider first; however as we move to this new model we need the subject line to be very specific (*e.g.* Triage, FMLA).

B: Currently, when messages are created and sent within Cerner, staff members add the patient's PCP (care team provider) to the subject line in order to sort and prioritize messages. This is a manual process that reduces efficiency in the clinic workflow and fills up the space in the subject line.

A: Primary Care Messaging RIE team identified the need for all messages that are being sent to the pool to have a very clear and identifiable subject line. In some offices they need to be able to sort by their PCP (care team provider). This will allow for a different way to sort and meet the workflow for those pools that have large volumes in them. We are very concerned that we are at risk for not caring for our patients in a timely manner (with the possible overlooking of an urgent matter due to this). We are combining all patient needs together and will no longer have a Triage pool separate for patient symptom/clinical concern calls.

R: Enable the provider column that currently exists to be added to the Cerner user's inbox configuration to eliminate the need to add the provider's name into the subject line allowing clinical staff to easily prioritize, sort, and take care of patient needs quickly and effectively.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

APPROVED / FURTHER INVESTIGATION REQUIRED.

Commentary by Park: Busch and I have taken this matter up for investigation; it is our understanding, indeed, that the functionality requested by the requestor is actually the way Cerner is supposed to work in the first place. However, our investigation of Cerner Model domains shows that (just as with IU Health) this is not the way the backend preferences are actually being honored (or not honored, as it were). Busch is therefore leading an investigation with Cerner to find out why this behavior might be occurring. We have already alerted the requestor as to this news, and we will keep the requestor informed and involved throughout the investigative process.

20181212 SBAR ALIGN PROBLEM MANAGEMENT TO MODEL ON REHAB POSITIONS

SBAR

S: Rehab positions enter their problems through a Problem control on their designated PowerForms used for clinical documentation and billing. The problems are entered under the Medical category, which in turn drives the problems to display on the global physician problem list.

B: During the Hospitalist MORE Uplift, one pain point discovered at most facilities during workflow analysis is that the Rehab problems are displaying (inappropriately) on the problem list as a Medical problem. This requires Hospitalists to edit the Problem List and/or delete the associated Rehab problem from their note.

A: Resources gathered from Cerner, Coding, and Rehab clinical leadership to review the current workflow. After determining Cerner Model and downstream impacts, entering problems under the Interdisciplinary category is Cerner Model and there is no concern from a reimbursement perspective.

R: Update the Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, Speech Therapy, and Rehab Management positions to default to the classicifaction of Interdisciplinary problems as Cerner Model recommends.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

APPROVED.

Summary of Discussion: It is an artifact of a prior time in IU Health history that we allowed the Problem List to become this polluted over time. Indeed, no one other than physicians / providers are to be entering problems with a Medical classification. All others are to be entering problems with an Interdisciplinary classification. To do otherwise is to rob Dynamic Documentation of its power and its minimalism.

Schaffer of the CHIO Council is assigned to make sure that this change is (a) implemented as soon as possible and (b) implemented for all applicable positions, not just the ones mentioned in this SBAR. As we are transitioning entirely to Dynamic Documentation this year, it is of highest priority to get this change performed in our EMR.

20181212 SBAR SURGICAL SITE INFECTION PREVENTION

SBAR

S: In July 2018, Adam Karcz (Director, Riley Infection Prevention) coordinated efforts with an interdisciplinary group of clinicians to draft proposed revisions to System Infection Prevention Policy 4, Pediatrics: *Prevention of Pediatric Surgical Site Infection*. In August 2018, the proposed revisions were reviewed and approved through the SCR, WCR, ECR, Riley, and West Hospital P&T Committees. In September 2018, the proposed revisions were reviewed and approved through the System P&T Committee. In November 2018, the Prophylactic Antibiotic Reminder 're-dosing' alert in SurgiNet reviewed by Infectious Disease Clinical Pharmacists Michelle Kussin and Armisha Desai, and updates to the alerts proposed, based on System Infection Prevention Policy 4, Pediatric and Adult.

B: Infection Prevention team members have previously informed Pharmacy Team members that there is a prophylactic antibiotic reminder 're-dosing' alert in SurgiNet in place that needs to stay in alignment with information in the adult and the pediatric surgical site infection prevention policies/appendicies.

The prophylactic antibiotic reminder 're-dosing' alert in SurgiNet has been described to us as *"when they document the prophylactic antibiotic there is a rule that starts a timer. When the time is up and the patient is still in Surgery, there is a pop up in Cerner that re-dose the antibiotic."*

A:

- Change Metronidazole alert to:
  - < 18 years: reminder at 5.5 hours
  - ≥ 18 years: no reminder
- Change Vancomycin alert to:
  - < 18 years: reminder at 5.5 hours
  - ≥ 18 years: reminder at 9.5 hours
- Change Ampicillin alert to:
  - Remove alert; NOT recommended for SSI prophylaxis at IU Health

R: Proposed changes to the prophylactic antibiotic reminder 're-dosing' alert in SurgiNet are listed below:

- For metronidazole, patient less than 18 years old: alert reminder at 5.5 hours
- For metronidazole, patient at least 18 years old: no reminder
- For vancomycin, patients less than 18 years old: alert reminder at 5.5 hours
- For vancomycin, patients at least 18 years old: alert reminder at 9.5 hours
- For ampicillin, remove SurgiNet alert

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

DENIED.

Summary of Discussion: This is a poorly-written SBAR, which confuses the purposes of every section and as a result addresses neither the necessity nor the informatics reasoning behind the approach. Furthermore, we have recently seen a large volume of requests to tweak or add alerts and while we are sympathetic to what we believe the intended outcome is, we are also well aware of the fact that we still are one of the highest-alerting Cerner builds in the world – and we have not seen an increase in quality with the volume of alerts we have. As such, we have been instructed by top-level IU Health leadership to question every single alert as it comes up for review – and retire every alert entirely if at all possible.

We thus require a total re-evaluation in this space. It is entirely possible, for instance, that we could use a pre-existing Stanson alert; that possibility should be investigated. It is also entirely possible that the pre-existing alerts either have or do not have efficacy as interventions – our dismal past history in alert implementation makes us inclined to think that there are nearly zero alerts in our system that have any efficacy at all. In the absence of the

willingness to rigorously study the effect of alerts, we will deny every single request for alerts (or even alert modification that does not demonstrate a clear performance benefit) that come up.

At the same time we are sympathetic to the overall thrust of the argument that we think is being made in this SBAR. Webber and Park of the CHIO Council are assigned to drive this matter to closure. This is a matter, for instance, that must be brought before the Anesthesia Clinical Council. It is also high time to formalize and strengthen the ties between Pediatric P&T, System P&T, System Infection Prevention, and existing Pharmacy IS/Informatics governance groups (*e.g.* Pharmacy CIS) systemwide. We note that we are getting disparate and often conflicting requests from these multiple groups, and in this time of decreased human resources we are being forced to be judicious about where we direct our efforts. Indeed, in the absence of evidence of a unified approach, we will be forced to summarily deny all future requests.

20181212 SBAR ASTHMA ACTION PLAN DOC TYPE FOR HEALTHEREGISTRIES

SBAR

S: The IUH Quality Teams and CIS Population Health request a net new Asthma Action Plan document type to be built and added to the document hierarchy for the new MPage based Asthma Action Plan rendered document as well as the current PowerForm rendered document, so they can be mapped as satisfiers for HealtheRegistries.

B: Historically IUH has mapped unique document types to satisfy ambulatory quality measures through HealtheRegistries when the document itself is the expected satisfier for a measure or the document type will be used to scan unique test results. The Adult and Pediatric Asthma Registries contain a measure for Asthma Action Plan that expects the document itself to be created, printed, and supplied to the patient as the satisfier for the measure. Until the rollout of the new MPage, Asthma Action Plans have been completed through a PowerForm and the document has been rendered to the Asthma Assessment document type. When the new MPage process was implemented, they flowed this same document hierarchy process for the new rendered form, but this document type is not unique to Asthma Action Plans, so in turn cannot be mapped to satisfy the measures within HealtheRegistries.

A: Document types have been used to satisfy quality measures and HealtheRegistries measures. A few current examples are Colonoscopy, MM Mammogram Diagnostic, MM Mammogram Screening, and the recently created Indiana Tobacco QuitLine Smoking Cessation document. We evaluated the Cerner ESH Model Experience, an d it appears this document is recommended to be built as Asthma Action Plan Note and is housed under the Progress Notes folder.

R: After review with IUHP Quality, AMB Quality Workgroup, AMB CIS, and CareNet, we believe rendering the completed document generated by either the MPage Asthma Action Plan or the Asthma Action Plan PowerForm to a unique Asthma Action Plan document type would be the best way to have this measure satisfied for quality and HealtheRegistries purposes, as well as follow Cerner Model for this type of documentation.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

APPROVED.

Summary of Discussion: This particular SBAR is a high-priority ask, because of both the regulatory as well as the risk dollars that are involved. Furthermore, we have the opportunity here to "do things right" the first time around, as opposed to doing cleanup later on. This is a matter that must be prioritized, and while we are aware that there is intense ESH rebuild work occurring, this should be a matter that is put in its proper place now. Park of the CHIO Council is assigned to drive this work to closure in a timely manner.

20181212 SBAR DIRECT EMAIL ADDRESSES AND CERNER MESSAGE CENTER

SBAR

S: We are looking for direction and approval from the CHIO Council on the best known way to build and maintain Direct Email Addresses moving forward.

B: IU Health is expanding its use of interoperability and needing functionality to allow outside clinicians as well as our own clinicians to communicate between offices for transition of care documentation, referrals, and follow-ups.

A: Current state is that some clinicians currently have a Direct Email Address but not all. There is a need with the influx of electronic transition of care documentation and referrals to be able to easily and quickly send documentation securely to another provider or clinic.

R: We have two recommendations from Cerner and one IUH idea:

1. *Cerner Recommendation:* Provision Direct Email Addresses to every user and build out Message Center pool routing rules by recipient and message type. This would mean that each individual user would have a Direct Email Address and could give that out to outside clinicians and when documentation is sent into Cerner the Message Center rules would drive it to either a Pool or the provider. Our current build supports this.
2. *Alternate Cerner Recommendation:* Provision a single Direct Email Address (naming convention could be to call it the name of the clinic) to a group of users (all members of the clinic). Then Message Center Pools could be created based on the one Direct Email Address and message type and routing would go to the clinic pool. IU Health's HIM department has used a variant of this for a few years now.
3. *IUH Idea:* Create a single Direct Email Address per clinic, similar to (2), associate the single Direct Email Address to a generic user account that does not have a username and password but is in a position with message center functionality. Message Center Pool rules would then be used back on that generic user and message type to route the message to the correct Pool. Union has an address like this for HIM Refusals.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

APPROVE OPTION 1 (CERNER RECOMMENDATION).

Summary of Discussion: While Option 1 requires the most front-end work, it is also the cleanest and most Model-compliant from a maintenance and longevity perspective. When you create single Direct Email Addresses for groups of people you run into large-scale consequence when a person leaves that group, or when a person joins the group temporarily (and you know that person will leave later). Furthermore, we have such heterogeneity of approach in this arena right now that we do not see Options 2 or 3 as being serious contenders at this time.

We recognize the complexities that may be brought by Referral Management; it may well be that there is room for hybrid approaches in the future. It is not, however, necessary to muddy the waters with unnecessary complexity now. The provisioning of 1-to-1 Direct Email Addresses has multiple benefits no matter what path we tread in the future; this should be considered a cornerstone of our future interoperability approach no matter what else may come.

Park of the CHIO Council is assigned to help the IUH Clinical IS Core Events team drive this effort to a satisfactory conclusion.

20181213 VASCULAR VENOUS ORDERS INDICATIONS AND CODESETS

SBAR

S: Non-specific or unspecified indications are often entered on vascular orders and result in IU Health being denied by insurance companies for reimbursement. Indications on the current list are not considered appropriate or payable by Medicare LCD guidelines.

B: Reimbursement for vascular exams occurs when an appropriate use criteria indication is used. The indications in the Vascular Venous Code Set for the Vascular Venous orders utilized at IUH does not list the terms identified as appropriate use indications which may result in denial for reimbursement.

A: Code Set 100652 is used for the Vasc Clarian Venous Indication field as a component of the Vasc Clarian Venous Order Format. This order format is used on four primary orders:

- VL Saphenous Reflux
- VL Venous Ablation or OR Guidance
- VL Venous Duplex Extremity
- VL Venous Reflux Plethysmography
    - Reflux Plethysmography has only been ordered twice in the past 2 years!

R:

1. Deactivate or filter out terms in Code Set 100652 that do not meet the appropriate use criteria and replace them with terms that do meet appropriate use criteria empowering providers to select appropriate indications for the exam.
2. Deactivate Reflux Plethysmography order since it is no longer used. See attached excel document for current and proposed indications.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Override: Park

VERDICT

APPROVED IN PART / FURTHER WORK REQUIRED.

Commentary by Park: We appreciate the effort to make sure that appropriate use criteria are met, and we especially appreciate the effort to deactivate tests that are no longer used. We do, however, need to make sure that (a) the entire system is aware of and approving of this work, and (b) we do not have untoward clinical, regulatory, or financial impact when we move forward with any initiative such as this.

Schaffer of the CHIO Council is assigned to take on this investigation and reach an appropriate conclusion.

20190122 SBAR HEART RATE AND PULSE RATE FIELDS

SBAR

S: The staff in Day Surgery are requesting for some way for the pulse from the pulse oximeter to pull into the I-Flowsheet via BMDI/P2DA like their other vital signs.

B: Currently, only the heart rate from an EKG pulls into the "Heart Rate" field in the I-Flowsheet for Phillips monitors, not the pulse from the pulse oximeter. The Day Surgery staff do not use the EKG leads on each patient; they routinely use the pulse oximeter. Therefore, the Day Surgery staff at UH have to open the Acquired Data band for each set of vital signs and document the pulse rate from the pulse oximeter manually while all other vital signs pull in via BMDI/P2DA.

A: After discussing options with Jeff Lane from the BMDI/P2DA team, he has said that the only way to resolve this issue is to have two separate fields. One for the Pulse Rate, which would pull in from the pulse oximeter, and one for Heart Rate, which would pull in from the EKG leads. Cerner Model includes "Peripheral Pulse Rate" and "Heart Rate Monitored" DTAs within the Vital Signs documentation.

R: Change our current "Heart Rate" DTA to "Heart Rate Monitored" and add an additional DTA for "Peripheral Pulse Rate". Then map the EKG heart rate to the "Heart Rate Monitored" DTA and the pulse oximeter pulse rate to the "Peripheral Pulse Rate" DTA.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

APPROVED / FURTHER INVESTIGATION REQUIRED.

Summary of Discussion: This is yet another artifact of our convoluted past as a custom Cerner client. Indeed it is true – we are supposed to have entirely separate DTAs for Peripheral Pulse Rate vs. Heart Rate Monitored. The only question is – what further customizations have we hung from this initial customization, and what clinical workflows would we be breaking if we mandated this change today?

This is a good example of a seemingly simple ask that will have larger clinical consequences than intended. We do not shrink away from making such changes – indeed, where Model exists we have declared many a time we will do Model even knowing what the consequences will be. Such is the case here. However, we also must make sure that (a) we standardize the foundations and the structures built atop those foundations as we approve initiatives like this, and (b) we communicate as aggressively and as often as possible.

Lovely of the CHIO Council is assigned to drive this matter to closure.

20190122 INFECTION PREVENTION CONTACT PRECAUTIONS POLICY CHANGE

SBAR

S: The System Infection Prevention Steering Team, which is made up of an Infection Prevention expert and Medical Director of Infection Prevention from each region, has made the recommendation to eliminate Contact Precautions for MRSA and VRE for all facilities **except Bloomington**. This change in practice has been presented and approved at the System Quality Council. This change will require a revision of the current Cerner rule that orders contact precautions on admissions for patients with an active resistant organisms long term alert. The planned date for implementation is 1 April 2019.

B: Patients in Contact Precautions have been shown to have less interaction with caregivers, increased depression and increased risk for noninfectious harm events compared to patients not placed into precautions. Over the last several years, there has been a growing body of evidence that demonstrates healthcare facilities, including large academic centers, have eliminated Contact Precautions for MRSA and VRE without increasing infections. In several studies, HAI harm events decreased with this change in practice.

Facilities that have eliminated Contact Precautions focused on reliable performance of horizontal infection prevention practices such as hand hygiene, standard precautions and patient hygiene. Inappropriate use of standard precautions is one of the greatest gaps identified by the IUH system IP team yet is the basis for team member safety.

A recent publication reported that after the elimination of Contact Precautions for MRSA and VRE, the facility found no significant change in the rate of infectious adverse event rate however they did find a reduction in the noninfectious adverse events by 72% in the patients with MRSA and VRE.

A: There is an upcoming practice change that requires amending the current Cerner rule for multidrug resistant organisms.

R: Edit the rule as follows:

- Drop MRSA, MRSA and VRE, and VRE from the current Cerner Rule for all sites **except for Bloomington**
- Once Bloomington comes onboard this practice change, remove the Bloomington exception

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

APPROVED.

Summary of Discussion: This is a well-written SBAR, and highlights the exciting practice change that is occurring. Furthermore, while initial modification of this rule will not increase performance, it will not decrease performance either – and will result in far less alerting of clinical personnel. We also look forward to being able to drop the Bloomington exception when the appropriate time comes. We ask our colleagues in Clinical IS to put this work on the roadmap to be completed by 1 April 2019.

20190123 SBAR MED-ALLERGY RECONCILIATION FOR INPATIENT NURSING

SBAR

S: Support electronic referral loops by receiving and incorporating health information is a Promoting Interoperability (PI) measure for eligible hospitals (EH) and/or Critical Access Hospitals (CAH) for 2019. Information can be received from a Summary of Care that is sent or from querying CommonWell. CommonWell will probably be the more common workflow to receive outside health information within the Inpatient setting. The 3 key data elements that need reconciled are Problems, Medications, and Allergies.

B: Inpatient nursing staff went live with Workflow MPage functionality last year. However, the ability to add/modify/reconcile from the component was not implemented at that time.

A: In order for the reconciliation to be captured within the PI reports for 2019, nursing needs to be able to perform the add/modify/reconcile from their Workflow MPage. Per Lizzie Dale (Associate Consultant, Care Delivery INA from Cerner), it is Model for Nursing to be able to complete these functions within the MPage workflow. The Mark As Reviewed functionality / workflow is something the Model team at Cerner is evaluating to determine if that functionality is still needed.

R: Implement the functionality to add/modify/reconcile on the nursing Workflow MPage for Allergies and Home Medications. It would be for those positions that were Uplifted and have the Workflow in place currently. This would work in coordination with the request from the Interoperability Team regarding Outside Records. This functionality will need to be turned on for Outside Records reconciliation.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

DEFER TO CLIPBOARD STEERING TEAM.

Summary of Discussion: This particular SBAR fills us with excitement – this is the next critical step in our journey toward a truly open, truly patient-driven EMR. At the same time, we must note that the monolithic and integrated nature of our system means that dependencies abound. In this particular case – the major dependency is on Clipboard and Portal. The Reconcile function is not fully built out here at IU Health, and indeed the Home Meds component of Clipboard only goes live on 25 February 2019 – you cannot build out Add/Modify/Reconcile until that component has landed.

Fortunately for us, Webber of the CHIO Council is also the TC Leader for the Clipboard project. This matter is thus rightfully assigned to her for further work. Make no mistake – we are very excited to be giving this functionality to Inpatient Nursing. Sequencing is simply very important here – and that sequencing will happen under Webber's guidance.

20190123 SBAR PROBLEM RECONCILIATION FOR PROVIDERS

SBAR

S: Support electronic referral loops by receiving and incorporating health information is a Promoting Interoperability (PI) measure for eligible hospitals (EH) and/or Critical Access Hospitals (CAH) for 2019. Information can be received from a Summary of Care that is sent or from querying CommonWell. CommonWell will probably be the more common workflow to receive outside health information within the Inpatient setting. The 3 key data elements that need reconciled are Problems, Medications, and Allergies.

B: Providers have been using the MPage workflow for some time. Problems is a component within the workflow currently and Histories is as well.

A: At this time, the Histories component is the only component that allows a provider to reconcile Problem data from an outside source. This is the only current functionality within Cerner and using the Histories component to review Problems is not in the providers' workflow. Per the Regulatory group at Cerner, the functionality is coming to the Problems component with MPages 6.12 and that is not scheduled to be released until 31 May 2019.

R: Implement MPages 6.12 as soon as IUH can to allow training time. Denominators can be monitored when the reports are ready in Business Objects and training can be done regarding the use of the Histories component prior to the MPage upgrade. However, the best workfl;ow for providers is to have this embedded in the Problems component. This would work in coordination with the request from the Interoperability Team regarding Outside Records. This functionality will need to be turned on for Outside Records reconciliation.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

APPROVED / FURTHER INVESTIGATION REQUIRED.

Commentary by Park: This is indeed part of the reason why we have fully disambiguated MPages upgrades from Cerner Code Upgrades. For instance, we are currently on MPages 6.10 and on Cerner Code 2015.01.26 – and normally we would have gone to MPages 6.10 at the same time that we went to Cerner Code 2018.01.04 (on 10 February 2019). The CHIO Council is in widespread agreement that we should fast-track the installation of MPages 6.12, even if this means skipping the MPages 6.11 release. Furthermore, the CHIO Council is willing for us to take some risk by becoming Testing Partners, so we can take MPages 6.12 as soon as possible.

There is of course a risk to doing this. Whenever we go Testing Partners, we are accepting some amount of bugginess. However, it should be noted that we were briefly Testing Partners for MPages 6.10, and the critical bugs in PowerChart Touch had not been discovered until we came around – and indeed MPages 6.10 became

Generally Available with those bugs intact. This is to say that we might as well go the Testing Partners route, as we appear to discover unique bugs due to our unique dependence on Workflow MPages and PowerChart Touch.

Park of the CHIO Council is, of course, assigned to drive this initiative to closure.

20190124 SBAR DIFFICULT AIRWAY ORDER AND SMARTZONE ALERT AT NORTH

SBAR

S: At IUH North, there is no way for providers to be made aware of adult patients that have had a difficult intubation. There is a Difficult Airway Form where this can be documented, but it does not alert the provider.

B: There was originally a notification that displayed on the Banner Bar that was created from the same Difficult Airway Form as of October 2018. When the Banner Bar was updated to Cerner Model, this alert was removed and the SmartZone Alert replaced it, but only for Riley, Riley North, and Ball (adult and pediatrics).

A: There is a current Difficult Airway Order and SmartZone Alert that is set up for Riley, Riley North, and Ball. Dr. Paul Calkins (CMO, IUH North), Dr. Laura Pesavento (Section Chair, Anesthesiology and Surgery, IUH North), and Dr. Robert Spech (Intensivist, IUH North) have all requested that the Difficult Airway Order be viewed and the SmartZone Alert be turned on for IUH North.

R: View the Difficult Airway Order and turn on the SmartZone Alert for IUH North. Provide education to Anesthesiologists, Hospitalists, and Intensivists.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

APPROVED AND EXPANDED.

Summary of Discussion: This is a model that has by now been thoroughly tested, and deserves to be spread systemwide. We are pleased that the CC leadership of IUH North has stepped up, and will certainly comply with their ask. However, we will also be gearing up to activate the Difficult Airway Order and the SmartZone Alert **systemwide**, with a requisitely aggressive education and publicity campaign.

20190125 ADMISSION DOCUMENTATION ON CLINICAL LEADER ORGANIZER (CLO)

SBAR

S: The 'Admission Documentation' column on the Clinical Leader Organizer (CLO) is pulling results for previous encounters into the column. This gives a false indication/visual cue that a Nursing Admission History PowerForm has been completed on the current encounter.

B:

- A Nursing Admission History is required to be completed for each admission by the Indiana State Department of Health, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services and therefore The Joint Commission and other accreditation organizations.
- More importantly, completion of the PowerForm activates appropriate ancillary services and supportive care for the patient and family.
- The 'Admission Documentation' column was implemented per Cerner's recommendation as a visual cue to support individual nurse and unit level accountability for completing this form on every patient, every time.
- All other columns on the CLO are set to and only pull from the current encounter.
- This column is set to pull only PowerForms from this encounter; however, there is a defect for this column whereby this column does not honor the 'current encounter only' build. This defect was not obvious during testing and was not discovered until PROD implementation.

A: We validated the column in set to pull in only forms completed for the current encounter and submitted an SR to Cerner. Cerner's response was that this is a known defect with no immediate fix available. We reached out to the Cerner Senior Solution Strategist who has shared that there will be no fix for this until MPages 6.12 and 6.13. 6.12 is due to be published September 2019 and 6.13 is due to be published December 2019.

R: We recommend the column be removed from the CLO as quickly as possible to eliminate the risk of not completing this key documentation for each patient on each encounter. Our Cerner Healthcare Executive and the Cerner Senior Solution Strategist also make this same recommendation. Our Cerner Healthcare Executive continues to advocate with her leadership on the importance of addressing this defect as soon as possible versus waiting until Q3/Q4 for resolution.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Override: Park

VERDICT

APPROVED.

Commentary by Park: This unfortunate circumstance is a true no-choice scenario. Furthermore, it points out another very good reason for disambiguating the MPages upgrades from the Cerner Code upgrades. We of the CHIO Council do believe that going Testing Partners for MPages 6.12 is the correct approach, even if it means skipping the MPages 6.11 upgrade altogether. This particular SBAR is simply more fuel for that particular fire.

20190125 SBAR TRAUMA FLOWSHEET NURSING

SBAR

S: Registered nurses here in the Emergency Department of Methodist Hospital are required to document many findings related to trauma cases that present to the ED. This is accomplished by completing documentation on the Trauma Flowsheet Nursing – Level One PowerNote.

B: The Trauma Flowsheet Nursing – Level One PowerNote was created to ensure that Methodist Hospital meets/exceeds the regulatory requirements needed for Level One certification. Cerner does not currently have a solution to capture the data. Therefore changes are requested to ensure that Methodist Hospital remains compliant with the regulatory requirements to meet/exceed Level One certification.

A: Three areas in the current PowerNote need to be updated. A screen print of these areas is attached for reference.

1. *Trauma Surgeon:* The current list is out of date. List needs to be updated to match current surgeons here at Methodist hospital. Metrics are pulled around each surgeon to ensure compliance is maintained with each surgeon.
2. *Level One Criteria:* Currently this has 2018 criteria; it needs updated to 2019 criteria.
3. *Level Two Criteria:* Currently this has 2018 criteria; it needs updated to 2019 criteria.

R: In order to meet regulatory requirements and continue with our Level One Trauma certification, it is recommended the above changes be made.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Discussed live at the 28 January 2019 CHIO Council Session.

VERDICT

PROVISIONALLY APPROVED / FURTHER INVESTIGATION REQUIRED.

Summary of Discussion: At this late hour, we should not and will not be encouraging the usage of PowerNotes, especially to meet regulatory requirements. It is absolutely required that we maintain our Level One Trauma certification, so we need to have an absolutely appropriate solution here – this will likely mean transitioning to a PowerForm. We are also sensitive to time pressures here.

As such, Schaffer and Lovely of the CHIO Council are assigned to perform the further investigation, and recommend a final (non-PowerNote!) solution. This solution will have to be prioritized once approved.

20190128 SBAR PHARMACY CONSULT NOTE RESEQUENCING

SBAR

S: Pharmacists working in the Clinical Specialist role are often asked to consult on dosing levels for medications. They utilize the Pharmacy Consult Note that is attached to the IP Workflow, and they are the only ones that use this note type.

B: During the Pharmacy Uplift the group was not sure exactly what was needed for the note. They discovered as soon as they started to utilize the note that they needed to re-sequence the Pharmacokinetic Data Section and Header. This way, the Assessment and Plan are below the Global Autotext that drives the assessment.

A: This is all within Cerner Model and supports a pharmacist's workflow.

R: Allow for the re-sequencing of the Pharmacist's Consult Note such that the Global Autotext for Pharmacokinetic Data is sequenced prior to the Assessment & Plan.

CHIO COUNCIL DELIBERATION

Override: Park

VERDICT

APPROVED.

Commentary by Park: This is indeed the correct thing to do, and within the spirit of both Model and the Uplift Program. That being said, we are appreciative that the requestor brought this SBAR forward to the CHIO Council for deliberation. We are pleased to give this request full-fledged approval.